Results of living at home: short term available care network

mfar(w/slfm)			
1.42 (0.52,3.90)	**mfar(w/med)**	0.62 (0.38,1.02)	
1.00 (0.35,2.89)	0.71 (0.45,1.11)	**mfar**	
2.57 (0.50,13.10)	1.81 (0.42,7.76)	2.56 (0.58,11.33)	**educ & mfar(w/med+slfm)**
0.44 (0.04,5.14)	0.31 (0.03,3.24)	0.44 (0.04,4.68)	0.17 (0.01,2.48)
7.58 (0.32,178.38)	5.34 (0.25,115.36)	7.55 (0.34,165.59)	2.95 (0.11,82.25)
1.33 (0.25,6.97)	0.93 (0.21,4.14)	1.32 (0.29,6.04)	0.52 (0.07,3.67)
1.34 (0.56,3.25)	0.95 (0.58,1.55)	1.34 (0.75,2.39)	0.52 (0.13,2.06)

Lower left triangle presents the findings (OR with 95% CI) of the network meta-analysis. U

			1.34 (0.56,3.25)
			1.11 (0.63,1.95)
			0.87 (0.35,2.19)
			0.52 (0.13,2.06)
aids & mfar			3.06 (0.31,30.42)
17.24 (0.38,774.20)	**ADL&aids&ed&ex&mf(w/med+slfm)**		0.18 (0.01,3.69)
3.02 (0.20,44.55)	0.18 (0.01,4.95)	**ADL & ntr & exrc**	1.01 (0.25,4.13)
3.06 (0.31,30.42)	0.18 (0.01,3.69)	1.01 (0.25,4.13)	**ac**

pper right triangle presents the findings (OR with 95% CI) of pairwise meta-analyses. A OR>1 favours

; the upper left intervention; a OR<1 favours the lower right intervention. Within the table, compa

Intervention rankings for living at home: short term available care network

Treatment	SUCRA	Pr(Best)	Mean Rank	LCI Rank	UCI Rank
aids & mfar	82.8	63.9	2.2	1	7
mfar	70.3	9.2	3.1	1	6
mfar(w/slfm)	66	13	3.4	1	7
adl & ntr & exrc	50.5	8.2	4.5	1	8
ac	47.7	0.4	4.7	2	7
mfar(w/med)	43.5	0.2	5	2	7
educ & mfar(w/med+slfm)	25.5	1.4	6.2	2	8
adl&aids&ed&ex&mf(w/med+slfm)	13.6	3.7	7	1	8

SUCRA values (0–100) and mean ranks are presented, based on 1000 simulations. Higher SU

arisons between treatments should be read from left to right (i.e. treatment 1 versus treatment 2). Tl

CRAs and lower mean ranks indicate better performing interventions. Pr(Best) gives the probability of

ne estimate effect measure (OR and their 95% CI) is in the cell in common between the row- and colu

f each specific intervention being ranked best intervention, based on 1000 simulations.

umn-defining treatment.