

GRIPP2-SF Item	Description
1.Aims: Report the aim of PPI in the study	<p><i>i) Ensure there is a patient voice included at all stages of the EURIPIDES study</i></p> <p><i>ii) WP2: To help develop recruitment materials, decide on key questions</i></p> <p><i>iii) WP2: To choose case sites based on the analysis of the data</i></p>
2.Methods: Provide a clear description of the methods used for PPI in the study	<p><i>WP2 utilised the Survivor Researcher expertise to guide the interview schedule development in parallel with the feedback and themes develop from the PPIT from WP1. Once the telephone survey had been completed, the Survivor Researchers worked alongside the POG to choose anonymised case sites for recruitment to WP3.</i></p>
3.Study results Outcomes: Report the results of PPI in the study, including both positive and negative outcomes	<p><i>The Patient and Public Involvement Reference Group provided a strong user and carer perspective. They critiqued the content of the emerging themes in service user and carer interviews. They provided content and face validity of the themes and sub-themes identified. They provided real life examples of the themes from their own experiences. The Patient and Public Involvement Reference Group also provided an opportunity to check the themes from studies resonated in a UK context.</i></p>
4. Discussion and conclusions Outcomes: Comment on the extent to which PPI influenced the study overall. Describe positive and negative effects	<p><i>The SRs had input into the design of the recruitment materials and topic guides used in the study. From the analysed national survey data, choosing appropriate case sites from the anonymised patterns of data was vital, which the SRs helped to form consensus on.</i></p>
5.Reflections/critical perspective: Comment critically on the study, reflecting on the things that went well and those that did not, so others can learn from this experience	<p><i>The Patient and Public Involvement Reference Group worked well in the study. As the study progressed the PPIT and SRs took on different roles at different points in relation to their skills and expertise, we learned through the different approaches in WP1 and WP2 for WP3 where we had more fully integrated involvement of both groups.</i></p>