Data Extraction Sheet – Systematic Reviews of Treatment												
Author (year): Re			viewer:		Date:							
Title:												
Source: _ Review C	haracter	ristics:										
Participal												
Interventi	ons (and	l control	D:									
□ Drug	□ Sui	rgical		Educ	ational	behav	vioural		Alter	native	□ Otl	ner
Outcomes Total no or	<u>:</u>											 _
Total no o	f outcom	es evalua	ated: _		_Prima	ry out	come(s)	define	d? □	Yes		No No
					□ Meta-analysis; How many?							
Designs of	include	d studie	s:									
		3		(Study	<i>y</i> =		; patie	nts =)		
				(Study	<i>'</i> =		; patie	nts=)		
			_				_					
How were								NA				
□ Nar	rative		Meta-	regress	ion / Su	bgrou	p					
			<i>I</i> ² =		P =							
□ Oth	er:											
Sources se	archad:	to identi	fy stud	lioc.		Not o	totad					
□ MF	DI INF	u iuchu	FMR	ASF		Psycl	nlit		Coch	rane	_ F	References
Sources se	JAHI.		Hands	earch		Expe	rts/autha	ors		Com	nany	Corcretices
	eedings			Other		Елре	r to, aatii	315		Com	ipuny	
Language	restrict	ion:		Uncle	ar		No		Yes,	what la	anguag	e(s) included:
Non-Engli	ish langi	19ge stu	dies.									
Searched:	П	Unclear	· 🗆	No	П	Yes I	f ves se	earch m	ethods	S:		
Included:		Unclear		No		Yes.	how ma	nv	• tiro un			
Included: For \Box	Main	analysis	5;		sensiti	vity a	nalysis?	<i>J</i>				
Grey liter	ature/co	nference	abstr	acts:		,	,					
Searched:		Unclear	î 🗆	No		Yes	If yes,	search	metho	ods:		
Included:		Unclear		No			how ma					
For \square		analysis			sensiti	vity a	nalysis?					
Other unp						• •	10					
Searched:		Unclear		No		Yes		search		ods:		
Included:	□ main	Unclear		No	□ consiti		how ma					
For \square	mam	analysis	,		Sensiu	vity a	nalysis?					
Are all the □ No, how				ed in m	eta-an	alyses	? 🗆	Uncle	ar		Yes	
					No		Yes					
Were there missing outcome data?					Uncl	ear		No		Yes		
If yes, methods used to deal with missing data on outcomes:												
Methods u				_								
			.,п	aviicat			ify uppy	ıhlichad	l etudi.	20		
	□ Not used□ Prospective register					Identify unpublished studies Fail-safe N						
	1100		- 5-5-61		_	- 1111	1					

	Funnel plot		Rank correlation (Begg method)							
	Egger's method		Large scale trials							
	Modelling		Other:		_					
Details:										
Issue of pu	blication bias discussed?		No		Yes					
_										
Evidence o	n publication and related bi	as:	□ Not avail	lable	□ Available					
If available, details (such as results of published trials versus unpublished trials; or shape of Funnel plot										
or related methods)										
Meta-analysis results:										
□ Not applicable (no meta-analysis)										
	Statistically significant (at least one primary outcomes)									
□ Non significant (primary outcomes)										
Authors' conclusion:										
	\mathcal{E}									
	7 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
□ Unc	□ Unclear (Not able to judge; neither positive nor negative; lack of evidence)									
Assassavia Indoorente										
Assessor's Judgement:										
Efforts to minimise publication bias										
Directo to minimise publication bias Sufficient - I artial Insufficient										
Risk of Publication Bias (Considering the possibility that authors' conclusion might be wrong because										
of possible publication and related biases):										
	High □ Moderate	,-	□ Low							
Reasons, if	\mathcal{E}		2 20							
,, 11	3 -									
Any other	comments:									
1 -										