	Item		
	Study design	Response	N/A
1	The research question is stated	Yes	
2	The economic importance of the research question is stated	Yes	
3	The viewpoint(s) of the analysis are clearly stated and justified	Yes	
4	The rationale for choosing the alternative programmes or interventions compared is stated	Yes	
5	The alternatives being compared are clearly described	Yes	
6	The form of economic evaluation used is stated	Yes	
7	The choice of form of economic evaluation is justified in relation to the questions addressed	Yes	
	Data collection		
3	The source(s) of effectiveness estimates used are stated	Yes	
9	Details of the design and results of effectiveness study are given (if based on a single study)	No	
0	Details of the method of synthesis or meta-analysis of estimates are given (if based on an overview of a number of effectiveness studies)		N/A
1	The primary outcome measure(s) for the economic evaluation are clearly stated	Yes	
2	Methods to value health states and other benefits are stated	Yes	
3	Details of the subjects from whom valuations were obtained are given	Yes	
4	Productivity changes (if included) are reported separately	Yes	
5	The relevance of productivity changes to the study question is discussed	Unclear	
6	Quantities of resources are reported separately from their unit costs	Yes	
7	Methods for the estimation of quantities and unit costs are described	Yes	
8	Currency and price data are recorded	Yes	
9	Details of currency of price adjustments for inflation or currency conversion are given	Yes	
0	Details of any model used are given		N/A
1	The choice of model used and the key parameters on which it is based are justified		N/A
	Analysis and interpretation of results		
2	Time horizon of costs and benefits is stated	Yes	
3	The discount rate(s) is stated		N/A
4	The choice of rate(s) is justified		N/A
5	An explanation is given if costs or benefits are not discounted		N/A
6	Details of statistical tests and confidence intervals are given for stochastic data	Yes	
7	The approach to sensitivity analysis is given	No	
8	The choice of variables for sensitivity analysis is justified		N/A
9	The ranges over which the variables are varied are stated		N/A
0	Relevant alternatives are compared	Yes	
1	Incremental analysis is reported	Yes	
2	Major outcomes are presented in a disaggregated as well as aggregated form	Yes	
3	The answer to the study question is given	Yes	
4	Conclusions follow from the data reported	Yes	
5	Conclusions are accompanied by the appropriate caveats	Yes	

Response categories: Yes, No, Unclear. Authors may enter N/A if an item on the checklist is not appropriate, but this is only acceptable for items 9,10, 12-15, 20, 21, 23-29, and 31. Drummond checklist: Drummond MF, Jefferson TO. Guidelines for authors and peer reviewers of economic submissions to the BMJ. The BMJ Economic Evaluation Working Party. BMJ Source: http://resources.bmj.com/bmj/authors/checklists-forms/health-economics