

Study	Risk of bias				Applicability concerns		
	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow and timing	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard
Amsellem-Ouazana 2005 ⁷⁴	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Babaian 2000 ⁷⁵	?	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Beyersdorff 2002 ⁵⁷	☺	☺	☹	☹	☺	☺	☺
Bhatia 2007 ⁷⁶	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	?	☺
Campodonico 2006 ⁷⁷	?	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Cheikh 2009 ⁷⁸	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Cirillo 2008 ⁷⁹	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☹	☺
De la Rosette 2009 ⁸⁰	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Djavan 2001 ⁸¹	☺	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Engelhard 2006 ⁸²	?	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Eskicorapci 2007 ⁸³	?	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Franiel 2011 ⁸⁴	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Hambrock 2010 ⁸⁶	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Hoeks 2012 ⁸⁷	☺	☺	?	☹	☺	☺	☺
Keetch 1994 ⁸⁸	?	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Labanaris 2010 ⁸⁹	☺	☺	☹	☺	☹	☺	☺
Lattouf 2007 ⁹⁰	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Lin 2008 ⁹¹	☺	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Lopez-Corona 2003 ⁹²	☺	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Ozden 2005 ⁹³	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Panebianco 2011 ⁹⁵	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Park 2008 ⁹⁶	?	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Pepe 2010 ⁹⁷	☺	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Philip 2006 ⁹⁸	?	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Pinsky 2007 ⁹⁹	☺	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Portalez 2010 ¹⁰⁰	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☹	☺
Prando 2005 ¹⁰¹	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☹	☺
Quinlan 2009 ¹⁰²	?	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Roehl 2002 ¹⁰³	?	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Roethke 2012 ¹⁰⁴	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Sciarra 2010 ¹⁰⁵	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺
Testa 2010 ¹⁰⁶	☺	☺	☹	☺	☺	☺	☺

Study	Risk of bias				Applicability concerns		
	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard	Flow and timing	Patient selection	Index test	Reference standard
Ukimura 1997 ¹⁰⁷	☺	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺
Wetter 2005 ¹⁰⁸	☺	☺	⊗	☺	☺	☺	☺
Yakar 2011 ¹⁰⁹	☺	☺	⊗	⊗	☺	☺	☺
Yanke 2006 ¹¹⁰	?	☺	?	☺	⊗	☺	☺
Yuen 2004 ¹¹¹	☺	☺	⊗	☺	☺	⊗	☺
Yuen 2004 ¹¹²	☺	☺	⊗	☺	☺	☺	☺
Zackrisson 2004 ¹¹³	☺	☺	?	☺	☺	☺	☺

⊗, high risk; ☺, low risk; ?, unclear.