Reviewer ID		
Study ID		
Study title		
First author		
Source of publication		
Journal yy;vol(iss):pp		
Country of publication		
Language		
Publication type	journal □ abstract other (specify):	
r defication type	journal = desides care (openly).	
G. 1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
Study eligibility		
	women suspected to have primary vulval cancer (in stage I or/and II FIGO stage or adequate in TNM classiffication) without clinically suggestive of	
Population	metastasis	
T op unution	patients without primary tumour treatment	
	other	
Index test	Tcc Tcc+blue dye blue dye other	
Reference standard	histopatology (IFL) clinical follow-up other	
If "other" was selected one or m	ore times \rightarrow discard, if it was not \rightarrow continue.	
Study characteristics		
D 1.		
Population		
Trial inclusion criteria		
Trial exclusion criteria		
Number of enrolled patients, N		
Number of patients who compl	eted the	
study, n (%) Age, in years		
specify the measure:		
specify the measure.		
Type of initial treatment, n (%)		
Initial staging, n (%)		
Other main baseline parameters	s (SLN	
status)		
Tests		
Tests		
Type of index test used (short		
description)		
Type of alternative test/comparator (short description)		
(short description)		
The second second		
Type of reference standard (she description))TT	
Duration of follow up		
Laranon or tonow up	1	

Review title
Date (dd

(dd/mm/yy)

Methods	
Method of enrolment	consecutive arbitrary random not reported
Data Collection	prospective retrospective not reported
Information about drops out	precise information inaccurate information lack of information
Statistical technique used	
Sample size calculation	
Funding source	
Quality assessment	
Representative spectrum	Yes No Unclear
Acceptable reference standard	Yes No Unclear Not applicable
Acceptable delay between tests	Yes No Unclear
Partial verification avoided	Yes No Unclear
Differential verification avoided	Yes No Unclear
Incorporation avoided	Yes No Unclear
Reference standard/ index test results bli	inded Yes No Unclear
Relevant clinical information	Yes No Unclear
Uninterpretable results reported	Yes No Unclear
Withdrawals explained	Yes No Unclear

Results

Test				
	Disease present	Disease absent	Total	
Test positive				
Test negative				
Total				
Comparator				
	Disease present	Disease absent	Total	
Test positive				
Test negative				
Total				

Dichotomous data

Outcome:	Follow up:			
Intervention group				
N	n (%)			
(95% CI SE p)				
Incomplete outcome data addressed				

Reviewer's comments