Reviewer	Name (year), Country	Full ref Vancouver (HTA style)

VERIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR INCLUSION

✓ as appropriate

VERIFICATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR INCLUSION • as	appropriate		
	YES	NO	UNCLEAR
P:			
Adults or children with diabetes (type or type 1I)			
I:			
Education			
Footwear			
Insoles			
Self-care Self-care			
Foot care			
Screening			
Other			
C:			
O: Primary outcome:			
Foot Ulceration (Patients must be free of ulceration at the point of recruitment, exclude prevalent ulcers	3)		
O: Secondary outcome:			
Amputation			
Compliance/adherence			
Knowledge			
Behaviour			
Mortality			
Hospital admission			
Other:			
S: RCT			

Notes: Other measures were unexpected Visits, Missed Visits, Tinea and Hyperkeratosis at study entry and end of study.

DECISION

Include	Exclude	Discuss	Contact authors	

 $\textbf{Comments:} \ (\text{Record reason for exclusion/contacting authors, date authors contacted and outcome; pilot or on-going study, duplicate/multiple publication et$

STUDY CHARACTERISTICS

PICOS												
Trials Aim												
•												
Objectives												
•												
Rationale:												
•												
•												
Inclusion criteria:												
•												
•												
•												
•												
Exclusion criteria:												
•												
•												
•												
T44!												
Intervention:												
•												
Control:												
C4												
Standard Care:												
Outcome of interest: (pr	imary or secondary/inc	cident or prevalent)										
Study design of DCT												
Study design of RCT												
• Parallel												
	ı											
Cross overFactorial designCluster	ı											
Cross overFactorial design	1											
Cross overFactorial designCluster	ı											
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting:	ı											
Cross overFactorial designCluster	1											
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting:	ı											
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers:	1											
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders:	ı											
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers:	1											
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders:												
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders:	Intervention 1	Intervention 2	Control	Overall								
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders:		Intervention 2	Control	Overall								
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders:		Intervention 2	Control	Overall								
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders: Contact:		Intervention 2	Control	Overall								
• Cross over • Factorial design • Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders: Contact: N= at randomisation		Intervention 2	Control	Overall								
 Cross over Factorial design Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders: Contact:		Intervention 2	Control	Overall								
• Cross over • Factorial design • Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders: Contact: N= at randomisation N= who completed		Intervention 2	Control	Overall								
• Cross over • Factorial design • Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders: Contact: N= at randomisation		Intervention 2	Control	Overall								
• Cross over • Factorial design • Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders: Contact: N= at randomisation N= who completed N= lost to FU	Intervention 1											
• Cross over • Factorial design • Cluster Study Setting: Health care providers: Funders: Contact: N= at randomisation N= who completed		Intervention 2	Control n (%)	Overall n (%)								

		T			
Female:	n (%)	n (%)	n	(%)	n (%)
2.6					
Mean age					
TO DAT (0/)					
T2 DM (%)					
Duration of diabetes					
Duration of diabetes					
HbA1c					
Previous ulcers (%)					
` ,					
Diabetic foot risk					
classification					
• 1					
• 2					
• 3					
History of amputation					l
mistory of amputation					
Length of follow-up					
From randomisation or	end of trial				
Ulcer risk classification		L	M	H	NS
			<u> </u>		
Ulcer definition					
		1			

DATA (Binary):

Outcome	Intervention		Control	
Outcome	Total pop	Total pop with outcome	Total pop	Total pop with outcome

Outcome	Intervention		Control	
Outcome	Total pop with outcome		Total pop Total pop with outcome	

DATA (continuous)

Outcome	Intervention			Control		
	N=	Mean	SD	N=	Mean	SD

Outcome	Intervention			Control		
	N=	Mean	SD	N=	Mean	SD

Quality assessment

Explanation of items	Yes	No	Unclear
Sequence generation			
State the method: (e.g. computer generated):			
Allocation concealment			
Blinding			
 Participant 			
 Provider 			
Outcome assessor			
Incomplete outcome data			