**Network Meta-analysis Results for All Comparisons**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **PICC/Hickman** | **PORT/Hickman** | **PORT/PICC** |
| **Directly estimated log odds ratio (SE)** | 0.14 (0.20) | -0.62 (0.19) | -0.66 (0.24) |
| **Indirectly estimated log odds ratio (SE)** | -0.09 (0.38) | -0.06 (0.43) | -0.80 (0.36) |
| **Combined Estimated log odds ratio (se)** | 0.09 (0.18) | -0.53 (0.17) | -0.70 (0.20) |
| **Q\* (p-value)** | 0.03 (0.59) | 1.46 (0.23) | 0.11 (0.74) |
| **I2\*\*** | 0.00 | 31.44 | 0.00 |
| **Combined odds ratio (95%CI)** | 1.10 (0.78, 1.55) | 0.59 (0.42, 0.82) | 0.50 (0.34, 0.73) |
| **1-sided non-inferiority p-value** | 0.033 | N/A | N/A |
| **2-sided p-value** | N/A | <0.001 | <0.001 |

\* Q measures heterogeneity between indirect and direct estimates; values should be compared to the chi-squared distribution on 1 degree of freedom

\*\* I2 quantifies heterogeneity: I2<30% is considered mild heterogeneity and I2>50% is considered notable heterogeneity